2

3

4

l
H

CARL J. KUNASEK **CHAIRMAN**

AUG 2 2 2000

2000 AUG 22 P 3: 35

JIM IRVIN

COMMISSIONERDOCKETED BY WILLIAM A. MUNDELL COMMISSIONER.

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

IN THE MATTER OF INVESTIGATION INTO OWEST CORPORATION'S COMPLIANCE WITH CERTAIN WHOLESALE PRICING REQUIREMENTS FOR UNBUNDLED

NETWORK ELEMENTS AND RESALE DISCOUNTS.

DOCKET NO. T-00000A-00-0194

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On July 25, 2000, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued Decision No. 62753 on Phase I of the above-captioned matter.

On July 31, 2000, AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. ("AT&T") filed a Request for Reconsideration ("Request") of Decision No. 62753.

Our August 21, 2000 Procedural Order established a procedural schedule for Phase II of the above-captioned matter.

On August 21, 2000, the Commission at Open Meeting denied the Request, but ordered the issues contained in the Request to be included as part of Phase II.

Accordingly, our August 21, 2000 Procedural Order is amended to include the issues contained in the Request.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that our August 21, 2000 Procedural Order is hereby amended to include the issues contained in the Request for Reconsideration (see Attachment No. 1) filed by AT&T on July 31, 2000.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Arb	itrator(s) may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any
2	portion of this Procedural Order either by subseque	ent Procedural Order or by ruling at arbitration.
3	DATED this 22nd day of August, 2000.	
	271122 uno <u>17 7</u> un officiales, 2000.	
4		
5		Ch Min
6		JERKY L. RUDIBAUGH
7		CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
8	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered	
9	this day of August, 2000 to:	
10		
11	Timothy Berg FENNEMORE CRAIG	
	3003 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012	Scott S. Wakefield RUCO
	Attorneys for Qwest Corporation Thomas Dethlefs	2828 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
13	U S WEST 1801 California Street, Suite 5100	Raymond S. Heyman Randall H. Warner
	Denver, Colorado 80202	ROSHKA, HEYMAN & DeWULF Two Arizona Center
13	Richard S. Wolters AT&T 1875 Lawrence Street, Room 1575	400 N. 5 th Street, Suite 1000 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Alltel Communications, Inc.
	Denver, Colorado 80202-1847	Lyn Farmer, Chief Counsel
17	Michael W. Patten BROWN & BAIN	LEGAL DIVISION 1200 W. Washington Street
18	P.O. Box 400 Phoenix, Arizona 85001-0400 Attempts for Cox Arizona Tologra Inc. and	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Deborah Scott, Director
19	Attorneys for Cox Arizona Telcom, Inc., and e-spire™ Communications	UTILITIES DIVISION 1200 W. Washington Street
20	Michael Grant GALLAGHER & KENNEDY	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
	2575 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225 Attorneys for Electric Lightwave, Inc., COVAD	Arizona Reporting Service, Inc. 2627 N. Third Street, Suite Three Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1103
21	Communications, Inc. and New Edge Networks	riocitix, Arizona 65004-1105
22	Thomas H. Campbell LEWIS & ROCA	
23	40 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attempore for Phythere Links, Inc.	By: Debbi Person
24	Attorneys for Rhythms Links, Inc.	Secretary to Jerry L. Rudibaugh
25	Thomas F. Dixon, Jr.	
26	Thomas F. Dixon, Jr. MCI WorldCom 707 17 th Street	
20 J	MCI WorldCom 707 17 th Street Denver, Colorado 80202	
27	MCI WorldCom 707 17 th Street	

AUG 2 0 2000

Hearing

RECEIVER AZ CORP CULTUSSION

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

JUL 31 9 53 AM '00

CARL J. KUNASEK Chairman JAMES M. IRVIN Commissioner WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner

DOCUMENT CONTROL

RECEIVED 'JUL 3 1 2000

ARIZOMA COOP. COMM. HE DIVICION

IN THE MATTER OF INVESTIGATION INTO U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE WITH CERTAIN WHOLESALE PRICING REQUIREMENTS) FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK **ELEMENTS AND RESALE DISCOUNTS**

EARING

DOCKET NO. T-00000A-00-0194

AT&T'S REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Pursuant to Ariz. Adm. Code R14-3-111, AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. ("AT&T") requests reconsideration of the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") Order and Opinion, Decision No. 62753.

The Commission entered an order on July 25, 2000, adopting U S WEST Communications, Inc.'s ("US WEST") proposed methodology for establishing deaveraged rates for the unbundled loop. However, the Commission used US WEST's current retail zones, instead of the zones proposed in U S WEST's current rate case. The rates are subject to true-up, and the competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs") may ultimately collect interest.

The rates adopted are not compliant with Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("Act") or the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") orders and rules. The rates will not promote residential or business competition, as contemplated by the Act. In fact, the explicit purpose for adopting U S WEST's rates is to continue to delay competition for residential telecommunications customers in Arizona.

that the rates include implicit subsidies. Nor did U S WEST take issue with similar statements made by AT&T at the open meeting before the Commission. TR 26-28 (July 18, 2000). In fact, U S WEST witness testified that the rates contain implicit subsidies to subsidize *retail* services. Million Direct at 17-18. The Administrative Law Judge stated that "the order acknowledges that [the ACC] need[s] to go to more cost based rates." TR 28. Furthermore, the Opinion and Order finds (at paragraph 19) that "Staff and AT&T presented plans that reflect costs better than U S WEST's proposal." Although no party has taken issue with the fact that the deaveraged unbundled loop rates adopted contain explicit subsidies, the Commission has ignored FCC orders and rules and court decisions that prohibit the inclusion of implicit subsidies to support universal service in rates for unbundled network elements ("UNEs").

More recently, the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals held that "the costs of universal service subsidies should not be included in the costs of providing the network elements."

Iowa Utils. Bd. v. FCC, No. 96-3321, slip op. 13 (July 18, 2000 8th Cir.). In essence, in an attempt to comply with a FCC rule requiring that rates for UNEs be deaveraged, the Commission is violating another FCC rule that specifically prohibits the recovery of universal service subsidies in rates for the very same UNEs, even on an interim basis. The FCC has explicitly stated that "[s]tates may not . . . include universal service support funding

¹ Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98, First Report and Order, FCC 96-325 (rel. Aug. 8, 1996) ("Local Competition Order"), ¶ 713; 47 C.F.R. § 51.505(d)(4). AT&T v. Pacific Bell, 1998 WL 246652, *10 (N.D. Cal.); Southwestern Bell v. AT&T, 1998 WL 657717, *12 (W.D. Tex.) ("In addition, the Court rejects SWBT's attempts to raise 'universal service' issues as an end-run attack against the TELRIC methodology."); Southwestern Bell v. FCC, 153 F.3d 523, 539-540) (8th Cir. 1998).

in rates for elements or services pursuant to Secions 251 and 252, nor may they implement mechanisms that have the same effect."²

The Decision states that the deaveraged loop rate is an interim rate and that it is subject to refund, and possibly interest, at the time permanent rates are established in Phase II of the proceeding. The problem inherent in a refund mechanism is that between the time that the interim rates are set and permanent rates are established, competition is precluded in many areas and for certain classes of customers. Therefore, establishing interim rates subject to a refund by itself is a necessary but inadequate solution. Paying interest does not resolve this problem. No reasonable businessman is going to invest millions of dollars on a bet that the Commission will set future rates that are cost-based and promote competition. This Commission has had two opportunities to establish cost-based UNE rates and both times the rates authorized by the Commission preclude local residential telecommunications competition and violate legal decisions interpreting the Commission's obligations under the Act.

The methodology or mechanism adopted by the Opinion and Order, by U S WEST's own admission, is intended to recover implicit universal service subsidies. Because the Commission allows U S WEST to recover implicit universal service subsidies in rates for UNEs, the rates are contrary to FCC rules, federal court decisions and Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Simply stated, the rates are unlawful.

AT&T respectfully requests that the Commission reconsider the Decision and adopt AT&T's proposed deaveraged rates for the unbundled loop and its five zones.

² In Local Competition Order, ¶ 713.

Dated submitted this 27th day of July, 2000.

AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MOUNTAIN STATES, INC.

By:

Mary B. Tribby Richard S. Wolters

1875 Lawrence Street, #1500

Denver, Colorado 80202

303-298-6741

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the original and 10 copies of AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc.'s Request for Reconsideration in Docket No. T-00000A-00-0194 were sent by overnight delivery on this 27th day of July, 2000 to:

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket Control - Utilities Division 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

and a true and correct copy was sent by overnight delivery on this 27th day of July, 2000 to:

Carl J. Kunasek, Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

James M. Irvin, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

William A. Mundell, Commissioner Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Lyn Farmer Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Mr. Jerry L. Rudibaugh Chief Hearing Officer Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 Jerry Porter Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Patrick Black Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Hercules Alexander Dellas Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Deborah Scott
Director - Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

and a true and correct copy was sent by United States Mail, postage prepaid, this 27th day of July, 2000, to:

Thomas Dethlefs
Wendy M. Moser
Qwest Corporation
1801 California Street, Suite 5100
Denver, CO 80202

Richard L. Sallquist Sallquist & Drummond 2525 E. Arizona Biltmore Circle Phoenix, AZ 85016

Peter A. Rohrback Mace J. Rosenstein Yaron Dori Hogan & Hartson, LLP 555 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004-1009

Drake Tempest
Qwest Communications International, Inc.
555 Seventeenth Street
Denver, CO 80202

Michael W. Patten Brown & Bain 2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000 Phoenix, AZ 85012

Raymond S. Heyman Randall H. Warner Roshka Heyman & DeWulf, PLC Two Arizona Center, Suite 1000 400 North 5th Street Phoenix, AZ 85004

David R. Conn McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services 6400 C Street, S.W. Cedar Rapids, IA 52406 Joan S. Burke
Osborn Maledon, P.A.
2929 North Central Avenue, 21st Floor
P. O. Box 36379
Phoenix, AZ 85067-6379

Thomas F. Dixon MCI WorldCom, Inc. 707 17th Street, Suite 3900 Denver, CO 80202

Daniel M. Waggoner Gregory T. Diamond Davis Wright Tremaine 2600 Century Square 1501 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-1688

Maureen Arnold Qwest Corporation 3033 North Third Street, Room 1010 Phoenix, AZ 85012

Thomas H. Campbell Lewis and Roca, LLP 40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004

Gregory Kopta
Davis Wright Tremaine
2600 Century Square
1501 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101-1688

Jon Poston Arizonans for Competition in Telephone Service 6733 E. Dale Lane Cave Creek, AZ 85331-6561 Scott Wakefield Residential Utility Consumer Office 2828 North Central Ave., #1200 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Diane Bacon Communications Workers of America 5818 N. 7th Street, Suite 206 Phoenix, AZ 85014-5811

Thomas W. Hartman SBC Telecom 175 E. Houston Street, Room 1256 San Antonio, TX 78205

Gary Yaquinto
GST Telecom, Inc.
3003 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1600
Phoenix, AZ 85012

Penny Bewick New Edge Networks, Inc. P. O. Box 5159 3000 Columbia House Blvd., Suite 106 Vancouver, WA 98668

W. Clay Deanhardt Covad Communications 2330 Central Expressway Santa Clara, CA 95050

Darren S. Weingard Stephen H. Kukta Sprint Communications 1850 Gateway Drive, 7th Floor San Mateo, CA 94404-2467

Carrington Phillip
Cox Arizona Telecom, Inc.
1400 Lake Hearn Drive
Atlanta, GA 30319

Douglas Hsiao Rhythms Links, Inc. 6933 S. Revere Parkway Englewood, CO 80112

Rex M. Knowles
Nextlink Communications, Inc.
111 E. Broadway, Suite 1000
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Robert S. Tanner Davis Wright Tremaine 17203 N. 42nd Street Phoenix, AZ 85032

Brian Thomas GST Telecom, Inc. 4001 Main Street Vancouver, WA 98663

Michael M. Grant Todd C. Wiley Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A. 2575 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225

Timothy Peters Electric Lightwave, Inc. 4400 N.E. 77th Avenue Vancouver, WA 98662

Elizabeth Howland, National Director Regulatory and Interconnection Allegiance Telecom, Inc. 1950 Stemmons Freeway, Suite 3026 Dallas, TX 75207-3118

Kath Thomas Advanced Telecom Group, Inc. 100 Stoney Point Road, Suite 130 Santa Rosa, CA 95401 Jeffrey W. Crockett Jeffrey B. Guldner Snell & Wilmer LLP One Arizona Center Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202

Timothy Berg Fennemore Craig, P.C. 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913

Gary L. Lane 6902 E. 1st Street, Suite 201 Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Mary Steele Davis Wright Tremaine 2600 Century Square 1501 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101-1688

Steve Sager
McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.
215 South State Street, 10th Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

D'MUR Jench